1	James R. Condo (#005867)
2	Amanda C. Sheridan (#027360) SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 1900 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2204
3	
4	Telephone: (602) 382-6000
5	jcondo@swlaw.com asheridan@swlaw.com
6	Richard B. North, Jr. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
7	Georgia Bar No. 545599 Matthew B. Lerner (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
8	Georgia Bar No. 446986 NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LI Atlantic Station
9	201 17th Street, NW, Suite 1700
10	Atlanta, GA 30363 Telephone: (404) 322-6000 richard.north@nelsonmullins.com
11	matthew.lerner@nelsonmullins.com
12	Attorneys for Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and
13	Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.
14	IN THE UNITED STATES
15	FOR THE DISTRIC
16	IN RE: Bard IVC Filters Products Liability
17	Litigation

S DISTRICT COURT T OF ARIZONA

Litigation	
8	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
	LEAVE TO FILE UNDER SEAL
	AN EXHIBIT IN SUPPORT OF
	BARD'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE
	THE OPINIONS OF DRS

KINNEY, ROBERTS, AND OF LAW IN SUPPORT

No. 2:15-MD-02641-DGC

22

18

19

20

21

24

26

27

28

23 Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. (collectively

"Bard") hereby respectfully move this Court, pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order

25 (Doc. 269), Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G), and Local Civil Rule 5.6 for

leave to file under seal an exhibit attached in support of Bard's Motion to Exclude the

Opinions of Thomas Kinney, M.D., Anne Christin Roberts, M.D., and Sanjeeva Kalva,

M.D. and Memorandum of Law in Support. This exhibit, contains certain trade secrets

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14

and confidential information that are protected under the Stipulated Protective Order, warranting protection from public disclosure. Accordingly, there is good cause to grant Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Under Seal An Exhibit in Support of Bard's Motion To Exclude the Opinions of Drs. Kinney, Roberts, and Kalva and Memorandum of Law in Support. Defendants have notified Plaintiffs of their intent to file this Motion. Plaintiffs have agreed to the filing of such motions in the past; however, to date, Plaintiffs have not yet responded to Defendants' attempts to meet and confer on whether Plaintiffs oppose the Motion once Plaintiffs have had an opportunity to review the document in issue.

The exhibits sought to be sealed is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITY

"When a court grants a protective order for information produced during discovery, it already has determined that 'good cause' exists to protect this information from being disclosed to the public by balancing the needs for discovery against the need for confidentiality." Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. Gen. Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1213 (9th Cir. 2002). See also, Medicis Pharm. Corp. v. Acella Pharm., LLC, CV 10-1780-PHX-JAT, 2012 WL 2260928 at *2 (D. Ariz. June 15, 2012) (sealing exhibits related to "Medicis' marketing strategy, Acella's product formulation,...various emails and deposition transcripts, viscosity test data, sales and marketing information, and various other documents" because "[m]uch of this information has been previously sealed by the Court, has been designated as confidential by the parties pursuant to the protective order in this case, or could otherwise potentially harm the parties if released publicly because of its confidential and sensitive nature.").

The document at issue, the Rule 26 Report of Drs. Kinney, Roberts, and Kalva, contains pieces of highly competitive, confidential, proprietary information that warrant protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1)(G) because the information in the Report is not made public by Bard and, if obtained by Bard's competitors, could give an unfair economic advantage to those competitors. Blanchard & Co., Inc. v. Barrick Gold Corp., No. 02-3721, 2004 WL 737485, at *5 (E.D. La. Apr. 5, 2004) (citing Pansy v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14 (404) 327-6000

Borough of Stroudsburg, 23 F.3d 772, 786 (3d Cir. 1994)). Except for a small number of publicly available documents, all of the Bard documents cited in the Report were produced to Plaintiffs as "Confidential – Subject to Protective Order" on each page pursuant to Stipulated Protective Order (Doc. 269) ¶ 6.

The public disclosure of the Rule 26 Report of Drs. Kinney, Roberts, and Kalva would reveal confidential, proprietary and trade secret information and would create a heightened risk of irreparable harm to Bard's competitive business concerns. Further, its inclusion in the public record would not only harm Bard because of the trade secrets and confidential information it contains, but it would also eviscerate the significant time and resources Bard has expended in protecting its business information. The potential for abuse and for competitive loss are real given Bard's currently marketed IVC filter products. Accordingly, Defendants request that the Rule 26 Report be sealed.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 24th day of August, 2017.

By: s/ Matthew B. Lerner

James R. Condo Amanda C. Sheridan One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren, Suite 1900 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202

Richard B. North, Jr. (admitted *pro hac vice*) Georgia Bar No. 545599 Matthew B. Lerner (admitted *pro hac vice*) Georgia Bar No. 446986 Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP 201 17th Street, NW / Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30363

Attorneys for C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 24, 2017, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will automatically send e-mail notification of such filing to all attorneys of record.

s/ Matthew B. Lerner

Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough

EXHIBIT A

DOCUMENT PROPOSED TO BE FILED UNDER SEAL

Defendants request they be permitted to file under seal the following document in support of their Motion to Exclude the Opinions of Drs. Kinney, Roberts, and Kalva and Memorandum of Law in Support.

Exhibit G. Rule 26 Report of Drs. Kinney, Roberts, and Kalva